IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH APRIL 2007 / 21ST CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 34209 of 2006(V)

PETITIONER:

KAIKKARA CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,
KAITHAVARAM BUNGLOW, KANKATHU MUKKU, KOLLAM,
A PARTNERSHIP FIRM, REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING PARTNSER A. ABDUL SALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SURESH KUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. TENDER EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR
KOYILANDY FISHERY HARBOUR PROJECT (CONSTRUCTION
OF BREAK WATERS) REP. BY THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
HARBOUR ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF
KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
HARBOUR ENGINEERING NORTH CIRCLE,
CHAKKORATHUKULAM, ERANHIPALAM P.O.,
KOZHIKODE-673 006.

ADDITIONAL 4TH RESPONDENT:

THE SECRETARY, DEPT. OF FISHERIES, GOVT. OF KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

(ADDL.R4 IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER ON I.A.NO.5460/2007
DTD.11.4.2007)

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI.ARUN SIVARAMAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 11/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P(C).No. 34209/06

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

P1. COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DTD.2.2.2006.

P2. COPY OF THE NOTIFICITON DTD.9.3.2006.

P3. COPY OF THE -DO- DTD.21.4.2006.

P4. COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DTD.22.6.2004 BY R3.

P5. COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DTD.25.7.2006.

P6. COPY OF THE SCHEDULE 3 OF THE PRE-QUALIFICATION.

Sdk+

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.34209 of 2006

==========

Dated this the 11th day of April, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner now confines his prayer for a direction to dispose of Ext.P9 representation produced along with I.A.No.5461/2007.

2. Heard.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P9 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. This is without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to approach this court again, if the decision on Ext.P9 is adverse to him.

Sd/-

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.